



**Corrigenda to the print version *Climate Change Risks to Australia's Coast: A first pass national assessment***

Page	Ref.	Correction																
16	Box 1.4	The population of the Gold Coast...is projected to be <b>900,000</b> by <b>2030</b> . Source: Gold Coast City Council 2009, Facts and Figures – Population, viewed 21/12/09, <a href="http://www.goldcoast.qld.gov.au/t_standard.aspx?PID=255">http://www.goldcoast.qld.gov.au/t_standard.aspx?PID=255</a>																
26	Figure 2.10	X-axis on the graph should read "...2100, <b>2150, 2200, 2250, 2300</b> ".																
35	Figure 2.20	Source: <b>M Kinsela 2007</b> and P Cowell personal communication <sup>50</sup>																
89	2 <sup>nd</sup> & 3 <sup>rd</sup> paragraphs	<p>CSIRO<sup>53</sup> has recently estimated that approximately 8.4 per cent of the population and 2.5 per cent of residential buildings in south-east Queensland may be currently at risk from inundation associated with a 'current climate' 1-in-100 year storm surge event. Under climate change, this could increase to 9.1 per cent of the south-east Queensland population and 2.9 per cent of residential buildings by 2030, without factoring in population growth; with population growth, the estimated cost of structure and content damage from such an event could increase from \$0.9 billion in 2009 to about \$1.6 billion in 2030 with the same planning and building regulations as today.<sup>54</sup> Additional costs associated with household cleaning and interim accommodation could increase from an estimated \$210 million in 2009 to approximately \$370 million by 2030.</p> <p>CSIRO<sup>55</sup> also estimated that over 70 per cent of commercial buildings in south-east Queensland are currently located within 5 kilometres of the shoreline. Approximately 2.3 per cent are currently at risk from inundation associated with a 'current climate' 1-in-100 year storm surge event and this increases to 2.6 per cent by 2030 without factoring in development.</p>																
143	Table 6.2	<p>Table 6.2 Estimated costs and benefits of residential adaptation in south-east Queensland for a 1:100 year inundation event in 2030</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Adaptation option</th> <th>People affected 2030</th> <th>Buildings affected 2030</th> <th>Total cost 2030</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Business as usual (same planning and building regulations as today)</td> <td>399,000</td> <td>61,500</td> <td>\$2.0 billion</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Planning regulations tightened to allow no further risky development; building stock under same regulations</td> <td>245,000</td> <td>40,300</td> <td>\$1.3 billion</td> </tr> <tr> <td>In addition to planning regulations tightened as above, retrofit/reclaim to maintain today's level of risk</td> <td>227,000</td> <td>35,200</td> <td>\$1.1 billion</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Adaptation option	People affected 2030	Buildings affected 2030	Total cost 2030	Business as usual (same planning and building regulations as today)	399,000	61,500	\$2.0 billion	Planning regulations tightened to allow no further risky development; building stock under same regulations	245,000	40,300	\$1.3 billion	In addition to planning regulations tightened as above, retrofit/reclaim to maintain today's level of risk	227,000	35,200	\$1.1 billion
Adaptation option	People affected 2030	Buildings affected 2030	Total cost 2030															
Business as usual (same planning and building regulations as today)	399,000	61,500	\$2.0 billion															
Planning regulations tightened to allow no further risky development; building stock under same regulations	245,000	40,300	\$1.3 billion															
In addition to planning regulations tightened as above, retrofit/reclaim to maintain today's level of risk	227,000	35,200	\$1.1 billion															
157	Figure 2.20 endnote	<b>Kinsela M 2007, Topographic control of dune response to climate-change impacts, Honours thesis University of Sydney</b> ; and P Cowell, School of Geosciences, University of Sydney, personal communication 2009.																